Appln. No. 10/529,664 Supplemental Amendmentt dated April 26, 2006 Reply to Office Action dated January 20, 2006

REMARKS/ ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the present application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Supplemental to the filing of the April 10, 2006 Amendment, Applicants' attorney was contacted by Examiner Wamsley. Examiner Wamsley advised that the recitation of "would be" set forth in claims 1 and 5 is indefinite. In response, telephonic conferences were conducted with Examiner Wamsley wherein it was agreed to eliminate "would be" in claims 1 and 5 and to amend "first encoder" to -- first hypothetical encoder --. Examiner Wamsley agreed that these amendments would place the claims and application in condition for allowance.

The courtesy of Examiner Wamsley in reaching the aforementioned agreement is acknowledged and appreciated.

Claims 1 and 5 are amended as agreed to with Examiner Wamsley during an April 25, 2006 interview.

Entry of the amendment, allowance of the claims, and the passing of the application to issue are respectfully solicited.

Appln. No. 10/529,664 Supplemental Amendmentt dated April 26, 2006 Reply to Office Action dated January 20, 2006

If the Examiner has any comments, questions, objections or recommendations, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the telephone number given below for prompt action.

Respectfully submitted,

Sbert R Michal

April 26, 2006

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman & Chick, P.C. 220 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10001-7708
Tel. No. (212) 319-4900
Fax No. (212) 319-5101
RPM/ms